#### View in Slide-Show Mode for Animations

# Developing and Validating Path-Dependent Uncertainty Estimates for Use with the Regional Seismic Travel Time (RSTT) Model



## Michael L. Begnaud<sup>1</sup>

Dale N. Anderson<sup>1</sup>, W. Scott Phillips<sup>1</sup>, Stephen C. Myers<sup>2</sup>, and Sanford Ballard<sup>3</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory <sup>2</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory <sup>3</sup>Sandia National Laboratories

T1.2-O1 Solid Earth Structure June 29, 2017





The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Government, the United States Department of Energy, the National Nuclear Security Administration, or the Los Alamos, Lawrence Livermore, and Sandia National Laboratories.

Sponsoring Organizations:

U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration, Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D CTBTO Srt 2014 partment of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration, Office of Nonproliferation and Arms Control



## Introduction and Outline

- Regional Seismic Travel Time (RSTT) code and models (current version rstt201404um): <u>http://www.sandia.gov/rstt</u>
  - Fast way to accurately predict travel times for regional (< 15-17 deg) phases for location algorithms (Pn, Sn, Pg, Lg).
- RSTT Summary
- Developing an Error Model for Travel-time Uncertainty (all regional, showing Pn, Pg)
  - 1D Distance dependent
  - 2D Path dependent
    - Why it's necessary
    - Construction
    - Implementation
- Location testing (REB Pn arrivals):
  - Models
  - Stations
  - Uncertainty estimates
- Conclusions and Future Work

## **RSTT Summary**

- Global tessellation
  - Velocity profiles (velocity vs. depth) at each node are interpolated to render a 3-dimensional crust that overlies a laterally variable representation of upper mantle velocity.
  - The mantle velocity parameterization is specified by the velocity at the crust-mantle boundary and a linear velocity gradient as a function of depth. (2.5D)
  - RSTT is a type of GeoTess model (with some additions): <u>http://www.sandia.gov/geotess</u>
- Calculation
  - Approximation of travel time (~1 ms computation)
  - Accounts for diving rays in a velocity gradient (Zhao and Xie, 1993)
    - c term related to gradient and Earth curvature
  - Pg and Lg calculation is similar to the Pn/Sn calculation but without the diving-ray correction.



d= path increment immediately below crust/mantle boundary.

 $\alpha$ = source-side portion of ray.  $\beta$ = receiver-side portion of ray

s=1/velocity



Modified from Myers et al. (2010)



N

ABKT

500





## **1D Distance-Dependent Uncertainty**

- Traditional travel time uncertainty (aka "model" error) is calculated from binning travel time residuals by distance and finding a percentile or standard deviation
  - For higher-dimensional models (e.g., RSTT 2.5D), treats all areas/stations the same



## **2D Path-Dependent Uncertainty**

- For a 2/2.5D model like RSTT, we want to have a path-dependent uncertainty determination with a similar dimension as the model itself.
  - Accounts for spatial variations in empirical data which could lead to bias in the model depending on the specific ray path.
  - Variations in residuals among model nodes allow for separation into "model" and "random" error components.
  - The tomographic model is global in extent, but there are many areas where there are no ray paths. The uncertainty calculations must account for that in some way.
- Others have calculated full 3D model covariance matrix (e.g., SALSA3D: Hipp et al., 2012; Ballard et al., 2016)
  - · Works well, but computationally expensive
  - Goal for RSTT is to have the general user be able to estimate a path-dependent travel time prediction uncertainty <u>on-the-fly</u> (e.g., NDC in a box)
  - For a 2.5D model at regional distances, can we achieve the prediction uncertainty metric (i.e., coverage ellipse contains ground-truth event at certain percentage)?

## **Constructing a 2D Error Model for RSTT**

- Develop an error model based on physical representation (o = source, i = path/receiver)  $t_i = t_o + (T_{oi} + T_T + E_T) + I_i$  E = model error  $\varepsilon = measurement/random error$  $\mu = bias$
- Same general model can be used for multiple estimates (observations) of apparent slowness local to node *n* (i.e., tomography)

For any node *n* along path *i*:

$$S_{i} = (S_{n} + S_{s} + E_{s}) + I_{s}$$
$$S_{n} = S_{s} + E_{s} + I_{s}$$

• Using a Two-Way Random Effects model to estimate node bias and variance components from residual error:

**Bias**(
$$\mu$$
) **Var**( $E_S$ ) =  $\tau^2$  **Var**( $\varepsilon_i$ ) =  $\sigma^2$ 

for Pn, Sn phases



- $t_i$  = arrival time (s)
- $t_o$  = origin time (s)
- $T_{oi}$  = calculated total travel time for path (s)
- $T_{oi,s}$  = source crustal leg calculated travel time for path (s)
- $T_{oi,r}^{n}$  = receiver crustal leg calculated travel time for path (s)
- $T_{oi,g}$  = gradient component of calculated travel time for path (s)
- $s_i$  = observed apparent slowness for path *i* (s/km)
- $d_i$  = mantle leg path length (km)

 $S_n$  = slowness (inverse velocity) at node n (s/km)

## **Collect Slowness Residuals for Each Ray Path**

• After tomography, slowness residuals for a phase are assigned to all "touched" nodes of the model along each ray path.



- Residuals are gathered for each node in the model and combined with residuals for each surrounding node
  - Two-Way Random Effects model
    - Random (Hexagon, Node)
    - Nested: Nodes are part of Hexagons
      - Model error  $(\tau^2)$  has components from Hexagons and Nodes
    - Minimum of 2 nodes in collection

• Assign bias and variances to the center node CTBTO SnT2017

## **Collecting Slowness Residuals and Factors Affecting Uncertainty Values**

- Collections of slowness residuals are determined in two ways:
  - (1) With all available data
  - (2) Binned by source-receiver distance (1° bins to 15°)
- Bias (µ) and variances ( $\tau^2$ -model,  $\sigma^2$ -random) are calculated only where there are available data for a phase (e.g., Pn, Pg)
- Factors that can affect the uncertainty values:
  - Crustal model
  - Inadequacy of the model resolution (e.g., complex regions)
  - Problems with physical assumptions
  - Data issues

## Total Model Error ( $\tau^2$ ) Grid, All Data: Pn



## Total Model Error ( $\tau^2$ ), Distance Bins: Pn



(Total Model Error) x 10<sup>-6</sup> [s/km]<sup>2</sup>

## Random Error ( $\sigma^2$ ) Grid, All Data: Pn



## Random Error ( $\sigma^2$ ), Distance Bins: Pn



## Bias (µ) Grid, All Data: Pn



## **Bias**(μ), **Distance Bins:** Pn



(Bias) x 10<sup>-3</sup> [s/km]

# Total Model Error ( $\tau^2$ ), Random Error ( $\sigma^2$ ), and Bias ( $\mu$ ) Grid -- All Data: Pg



## **Building a Grid for Calculating Travel Time Uncertainty**

- Background bias/variances are estimated high (constant or boot-strap) to inform the user where the model is not constrained by data
- 3D grid of sum squared error is built with distance bins as the Z-dimension (see figure below)
  - Bias/Variance grid is built as successive replacements:
    (1) background, (2) all available, (3) distance bins
    - End up with a complete grid of values, populated everywhere



## **Calculating Travel Time Uncertainty**

- For a given ray path, gather the nodal weights for the tomography model (mantle, crust):  $w_i, c_i$
- Using the source-receiver distance determine the bias/variance grid to use (interpolation between bins)
  - For the nodes (*n*) along the mantle path, pull the bias/variances into a path-dependent model covariance matrix
    - Assume non-zero covariance for node neighbors only
- Use a standard double-integral to calculate the path-dependent travel time uncertainty for the mantle
- Add a separate travel time uncertainty for the crustal portion
  - Assume constant a priori crustal slowness uncertainty (v<sup>2</sup>) (based on variance of "touched" crustal nodes, m) (~15% average slowness)

$$P_{mantle} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{11}^2 + \sigma_{11}^2 + \tau_{11}^2 & \tau_{12}^2 & \cdots & \tau_{1n}^2 \\ \tau_{12}^2 & \mu_{22}^2 + \sigma_{22}^2 + \tau_{22}^2 & \cdots & \tau_{2n}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau_{1n}^2 & \tau_{2n}^2 & \cdots & \mu_{nn}^2 + \sigma_{nn}^2 + \tau_{nn}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Pn Travel-time Uncertainty Surfaces (s): GERES



9

8 .

6

5

4

CTBTO SnT2017

#### Pg Travel-time Uncertainty Surfaces (s): GERES





50

45

30

#### Pn Travel-time Uncertainty Surfaces (s): ILAR



#### Pg Travel-time Uncertainty Surfaces (s): ILAR



## Validation of New Uncertainty Estimates

- Stations + Arrivals:
  - All possible: IMS primary + auxiliary Pn arrivals (Pg not used in this test)
  - Only IMS stations with SSSCs
- Velocity Models Used
  - ak135
  - CTBTO Source-specific Station Corrections (SSSCs) (converted to GeoTess grids)
  - \*RSTT (on-the-fly)
  - \*RSTT-SSSCs (SSSCs created from RSTT implementation -- Le Bras et al., 2013)
    - Converted GeoTess grids (0.4 deg tessellation)
    - Correction to iasp91 at 10 km depth only
    - WGB45-WEG, Begnaud presented evaluation of this RSTT-SSSC implementation: "Evaluating RSTT-SSSC Extrapolations Beyond 15 degrees"
  - SALSA3D (path-dependent uncertainty from full 3D covariance matrix) [Hipp et al., 2012; Ballard et al., 2016] (GeoTess grids)
- Uncertainty estimates:
  - 1D distance-dependent or default
  - \*New 2D path-dependent for RSTT-type models (uncertainty calculated at 0 depth)

#### CTBTO SnT2017

# Validation Event Selection

- Validation events chosen from set of GT5 or better events from the LANL Location Database (multi-catalog)
  - Selected REB events from this GT set
  - Pn phases only (all available)
- Station Sets:
  - (1) Allowed any IMS primary or auxiliary station (921 events)
  - (2) Only stations with CTBTO SSSCs (633 events)
- Fixed depth
- 90% coverage ellipses
- RSTT-SSSC new uncertainty values calculated at 0 km depth
  - travel-time corrections were calculated at 10 km



-150° -120° -90° -60° -30° 0° 30° 60° 90° 120° 150

#### Location and 90% Coverage Error Ellipse Results: *GT5 or better Validation Events (921) All IDC Pn Phases*



#### Location and 90% Coverage Error Ellipse Results: *GT5 or better Validation Events (633) Pn Phases from Stations with SSSCs Only*



## Conclusions

- Can calculate a 2D, path-dependent travel time error for the RSTT model.
- Use apparent slowness residuals, collected at each "touched" node in the model, along with its surrounding neighbors.
- Use a Two-way Random Effects model for each node collection to estimate bias and model/random error.
  - Model error tends to be higher in regions with high upper mantle gradient consistent with previous travel time prediction tests.
- Bias/Variance grids are built for each phase and distance bin, with high background error to tell the user when a path crosses into a model area that was not constrained by data.
- The travel time error for a specific path can be calculated <u>on-the-fly</u> using a covariance matrix with only surrounding nodes contributing to off-diagonal elements.
- Addition of a crustal portion of uncertainty is significant.

## **Future Work**

- Run synthetic tests (develop intuition about error process)
- Continue location testing with Pg, Sn, and Lg phases.
- Compare with calculating full model covariance matrix
- Apply IDC Analyst rules for time-defining in some way?
- Implement new uncertainty algorithm in RSTT public code.

#### References

- Ballard, S., J. R. Hipp, M. L. Begnaud, C. J. Young, A. V. Encarnacao, E.P. Chael, W. S. Phillips, 2016b, SALSA3D - A tomographic model of compressional wave slowness in the earth's mantle for improved travel time prediction and travel time prediction uncertainty, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 106, no. 6, 17 pp, doi:10.1785/0120150271.
- Hipp, J., A. Encarnacao, C. Young, S. Ballard, M. Begnaud, and W. Phillips, 2012, Calculating pathdependent travel time prediction variance and covariance for a global tomographic P-velocity model, in *Proc. of the 2012 Monitoring Research Review, Ground-based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies,* Albuquerque, New Mexico, 31-40, Los Alamos National Laboratory, LA-UR-12-24325, <u>http://www.osti.gov/scitech/biblio/1050499</u>.
- Myers, S. C., M. L. Begnaud, S. Ballard, M. E. Pasyanos, W. S. Phillips, A. L. Ramirez, M. S. Antolik, K. D. Hutchenson, J. J. Dwyer, C. A. Rowe, and G. S. Wagner, 2010, A crust and upper mantle model of Eurasia and North Africa for Pn travel time calculation, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 100, no. 2, 640-656, doi:10.1785/0120090198.
- Zhao, L.-S., and J. Xie, 1993, Lateral variations in compressional velocities beneath the Tibetan Plateau from Pn traveltime tomography, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 115, 1070-1084, <u>doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1993.tb01510.x</u>.

Los Alamos National Laboratory

# **EXTRA SLIDES**

CTBTO SnT2017

## **Pn Upper Mantle Velocity**



## **Pn Upper Mantle Gradient**



CTBTO SnT2017

## Proposed RSTT-SSSC Extrapolation (WGB-44, WEG; Le Bras et al.)

- For DELTA < 15, use RSTT correction as provided (even if the library indicates that the estimate may be unreliable).
- For DELTA between 15 and 20 degrees:
  - Compute dT/dDELTA (slowness) for the path and the path +/- 3 degrees azimuth.
  - Average the 3 slownesses using [0.5, 1.0, 0.5] weighting.
  - Compute travel time using: TT(15 deg) + dT/dDELTA(15 deg) \* (delta 15 deg)
  - Compute a trial SSSC using this travel time.
  - Use this correction if:
    - The correction at 15 degrees has the same sign.
    - The absolute value of the correction is less than that at 15 degrees.
  - If the correction changes sign from that at 15, then set correction to 0.
  - If the absolute value of the correction increases, then set it to the correction at 15 deg.
  - Since dT/dDELTA usually decreases with distance, then the time predicted from the above equation will overestimate the predicted travel time. Corrections to a 'fast path' (correction < 0) will tend to be reduced beyond 15 deg. Corrections to a slow path should tend to remain the same.
- From DELTA > 18 linearly taper the correction toward 0 at 20 (delta2) CTBTO SnT2017

#### Pn Travel-time Uncertainty Surfaces (s): PDAR





#### Pn Travel-time Uncertainty Surfaces (s): ZAL

